

## Paula Kusack

---

**Subject:** FW: To: City of Langley council + admin staff) Proposed motion for Sept 09 council mtg re UBCM conf resolution-submission re ride-hailing companies' terms of licenses to operate in BC...

**Attachments:** UBCM- draft\_ride-hailing\_resolution-for\_conference\_Sept-2019.pdf; ATT00001.htm

**From:** "Roderick V. Louis" <[mrrodericklouis@telus.net](mailto:mrrodericklouis@telus.net)>

**Date:** September 9, 2019 at 8:17:32 AM GMT+2

**To:** vvandenbroek <[vvandenbroek@langleycity.ca](mailto:vvandenbroek@langleycity.ca)>, npachal <[npachal@langleycity.ca](mailto:npachal@langleycity.ca)>, palbrecht <[palbrecht@langleycity.ca](mailto:palbrecht@langleycity.ca)>, tjames <[tjames@langleycity.ca](mailto:tjames@langleycity.ca)>, gmartin <[gmartin@langleycity.ca](mailto:gmartin@langleycity.ca)>, rstorteboom <[rstorteboom@langleycity.ca](mailto:rstorteboom@langleycity.ca)>, rwallace <[rwallace@langleycity.ca](mailto:rwallace@langleycity.ca)>, <[dleite@langleycity.ca](mailto:dleite@langleycity.ca)>, fcheung <[fcheung@langleycity.ca](mailto:fcheung@langleycity.ca)>, lzwarn <[lzwarn@langleycity.ca](mailto:lzwarn@langleycity.ca)>, GMinchuk <[GMinchuk@langleycity.ca](mailto:GMinchuk@langleycity.ca)>

**Cc:** info <[info@langleycity.ca](mailto:info@langleycity.ca)>

**Subject:** To: City of Langley council + admin staff) Proposed motion for Sept 09 council mtg re UBCM conf resolution-submission re ride-hailing companies' terms of licenses to operate in BC...

<b>To: City of Langley members of council;</b> #20399 Douglas Crescent, Langley, BC, Canada, V3A 4B3 604 514 2800 ; <a href="mailto:info@langleycity.ca">info@langleycity.ca</a>	<b>And to: City of Langley senior administration;</b> #20399 Douglas Crescent, Langley, BC, Canada, V3A 4B3 604 514 2800 ; <a href="mailto:info@langleycity.ca">info@langleycity.ca</a>	<b>From: Mr Roderick V. Louis,</b> #206-1390 Martin Street, White Rock, BC, Canada, V4b 3w5 <a href="mailto:rlouis@telus.net">rlouis@telus.net</a> 604-328-4968
---	--	--

September 08-2019

Re: Sept 16-2019 council meeting; ride-hailing issues, and (requested) resolution-submission from the city of Langley to UBCM for Sept 23-27, 2019 UBCM annual conference;

Hi members of council, and senior administration officials,

Pls regard this email as a formal request that council and or admin officials will put ride-hailing issues onto the Sept 16-2019 council meeting agenda:

<https://langleycity.ca/council-admin/city-council/meeting-schedule-agenda>

<https://langleycity.ca/council-admin/city-council/council-meetings>

... and (as a result), at the meeting, council members will deliberate and vote whether to pass a **motion** that proposes:

->>> the City of Langley will submit a (late, emergency) **resolution** to the UBCM regarding the BC Public Transportation Board's grievously flawed "***Operational policy***" (established August 19-2019) that delineates terms and conditions for operating licenses that are granted by the PTB to ride-hailing companies (such as Uber and Lyft) to operate in BC...

Below, after this note, is proposed wording for a *motion* that could be made, and voted on at the Sept 16 council meeting.

For explicit statements of actions that council would be directing, if the proposed motion is passed by council at a council meeting... skip past the "*Whereas*" components to the "*Be it Resolved*" portion (A, B, C, and D) ...

If this proposed motion- or an amended version- is passed at the Sept 16 council meeting, other Metro Vancouver member cities, such as Surrey, could be asked to co-sponsor the City of Langley's UBCM resolution (and potentially speak to the resolution at the UBCM conference)...

The wording of the below proposed council meeting *motion* is much the same as the wording of the (proposed) *resolution* that Langley would- as a result of passing the council mtg motion- expeditiously submit to the UBCM for debate and vote at the Sept 23- 27, 2019 UBCM annual conference...

**A PDF copy of the proposed UBCM resolution\* (that council could adopt as is, or amend) is attached to this email...**

\* 2 pages resolution + 8 pages background...

UBCM procedures require that background information *must* accompany all resolutions that are submitted after June 30 and that are intended for debate at the Sept annual conference. Such resolutions *must* be categorized as *emergency* resolutions)...

Again, the proposed council mtg *motion* and the proposed UBCM annual conference *resolution* are both regarding the egregiously lax "***Operational Policy***" (license terms for ride-hailing companies that are allowed to operate in BC)... that was established by BC's Passenger Transportation Board on **August 19-2019**...

**The deadline for BC's cities to submit (late) emergency resolutions for the UBCM's Sept 23-27, 2019 conference is 12:00 noon, on Sept 20-2019:**

<https://www.ubcm.ca/EN/main/resolutions/resolutions/resolutions-procedures.html>

<https://www.ubcm.ca/assets/Resolutions~and~Policy/Resolutions/Procedures%20for%20Submitting%20Resolutions%20to%20UBCM.pdf>

<https://www.ubcm.ca/assets/Resolutions~and~Policy/Resolutions/Writing%20Guidelines%20for%20Resolutions.pdf>

<https://www.ubcm.ca/assets/Resolutions~and~Policy/Resolutions/Sample%20Resolution.pdf>

-----

If any recipients would like to discuss this email and its requests, pls advise by email...

**Proposed City of Langley council meeting motion:** resolution submission to UBCM for debate and vote at Sept 23- 27, 2019 annual conference:

WHEREAS British Columbia's Passenger Transportation Board (PTB) has sole responsibility for deciding whether or not individual ride-hailing firms (that have submitted applications to the PTB) will be granted licenses to operate in BC;

AND WHEREAS On August 19-2019, the PTB issued a brand new *Operational Policy: "Introduction of Transportation Network Services, 2019"*, that, if implemented, will allow ride-hailing firms to compete against British Columbia's taxi companies for passengers- without having to comply with the same onerous rules, restrictions and requirements that the PTB requires taxi companies to comply with;

AND WHEREAS The PTB's Operational Policy will allow ride-hailing companies (that are granted licenses to operate in BC) to operate unlimited numbers of vehicles;

AND WHEREAS The PTB severely limits, and dictates the specific numbers of taxis that individual Taxi companies are allowed to operate;

AND WHEREAS The PTB's Operational Policy will allow ride-hailing companies (that are granted licenses to operate in BC) to not operate any "accessible" vehicles;

AND WHEREAS The PTB requires BC's taxi companies to operate "accessible" taxis, and to train their drivers on the needs of and how to accommodate disabled and mobility-impaired persons;

AND WHEREAS During the development of its ride-hailing Operational Policy, the PTB:

a) Did not consult with and did not allow BC's cities, municipalities and regional districts to express their views (to the PTB) as to what terms, restrictions and requirements should be included in operating licences that are issued to ride-hailing firms by the PTB;

b) Did not consult with and did not allow BC's public transit agencies- such as Translink and BC Transit- to express their views (to the PTB) as to what terms, restrictions and requirements should be included in operating licences that are issued to ride-hailing firms by the PTB;

c) Did not consult with and did not allow the general public to express their views (to the PTB) as to what terms, restrictions and requirements should be included in operating licences that are issued to ride-hailing firms by the PTB;

d) Did not consult with and did not allow groups that advocate for: disabled persons; persons with mobility-impairments; and the elderly- to express their views (to the PTB) as to whether ride-hailing firms should be required to operate "accessible" vehicles- as part of the terms, restrictions and requirements of their operating licences (that are issued by the PTB);

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED

A) The City of Langley opposes the British Columbia Passenger Transportation Board's Operational Policy for ride-hailing companies;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED

B) The City of Langley will expeditiously write to the PTB requesting that its Operational Policy for ride-hailing companies be withdrawn;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED

C) The City of Langley will, in writing, request that the PTB facilitates a comprehensive, fulsome public consultation process wherein BC's cities, municipalities, regional districts, public transit agencies, disabled persons' advocacy organizations, and the general public are invited and enabled to provide their views as to the terms, restrictions and requirements that should be included in operating licences that are issued to ride-hailing firms by the PTB;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED

D) The city of Langley will expeditiously submit a late, emergency resolution to the UBCM- for debate and vote at the September 23- 27, 2019 UBCM annual conference- that asks conference delegates to vote for or against the following:

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED

1) The UBCM opposes the British Columbia Passenger Transportation Board's Operational Policy for ride-hailing companies;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED

2) The UBCM will expeditiously write to the PTB requesting that its Operational Policy for ride-hailing companies be withdrawn;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED

3) The UBCM will, in writing, request that the PTB facilitates a comprehensive, fulsome public consultation process wherein BC's cities, municipalities, regional districts, public transit agencies, disabled persons' advocacy organizations, and the general public are invited and enabled to provide their views as to the terms, restrictions and requirements that should be included in operating licences that are issued to ride-hailing firms by the PTB;

=====