

Paula Kusack

Subject: FW: Property development

From: Ilona Bacskai 22 (1)
Sent: January 7, 2020 7:30 PM
To: WebInfo <webinfo@langleycity.ca>
Subject: Property development

Dear Development Service Department Team,

I'm Eszter Clara Berei and a resident of 22 (1), Langley (Elm building) 22 (1). I had been living here since February (signed on 11th, by the tenancy agreement) 2017. I'm fully aware of the substandard building conditions (in all but the new one behind the Army and Navy) on the actual property. Within the newly hired separate management from the other buildings on the property. I'm a certified draft person 22 (1). I looked at the proposed property division maps of the 2 different approaches. I'm disturbed by the fact the property lines are missed and redrawn from the 1972 first development on this very building. The other buildings were built after for special housing for seniors in the care and management of the Langley lions senior housing society and its board members. But I would question of their ownership in this matter of property development. The lot number 50923 and divided to two lots in 1965, with straight line divisions to the 65845 (I assume the family divided it between relatives) After the 203 street lot was divided further to two partition in 1988, was sold and where the senior center with nursing facility built. But this elm building was built in 1972 with the attached parking lot, what wanna remove from the property by the first proposal. Also some part of the seniors center property portion marked as subject property. The Fir and Elm building still one unit of two portion of the same property, but with misappropriated survey lines, by the given proposal of developments.

(also the across building of Brighton has no sold division from the property of 1960 lot, but had different developments on, by family inheritance and estate sale.

The Brighton originally build in 1977. 22 (1) (2012 September-2013 December), knowing the efforts to elevate the extremely substandard conditions (due to marijuana grownup operations in about 2006- by the management). 22 (1) 22 (1) by moving soon as had been possible, (as my complaints had been dismissed by the tenancy board, without reason or rulings). With the recent renovations, I don't know if they rewired the removed hydro meters to have minimal building code requirements for the 3rd floor, but look nice from the outside.

Sincerely,

Ilona Bacskai maiden name (AKA Eszter Clara Berei 22 (1) from 2013 December; AKA married name from Ontario: Ilona McNicholl until 2000)

Please feel free to contact me: 22 (1)