

**From:** 22 (1)  
**To:** [Paula Kusack](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Garden Wild paths  
**Date:** June 14, 2021 12:26:34 PM

---

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Paula

Yes it most certainly is. Also, do you have a start date, and completion date and budget amount for this project?

Thank you  
Sharon

> On Jun 14, 2021, at 10:15 AM, Paula Kusack <[pkusack@langleycity.ca](mailto:pkusack@langleycity.ca)> wrote:

>

> Hello,

> Thank you for your input. To clarify, is this input related to the Nicomekl River District Neighbourhood Plan being considered at the Public Hearing on June 28?

>

> Paula

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: [sratray22 \(1\)](#)

> Sent: June 13, 2021 4:13 PM

> To: Regular Council Meetings <[CouncilMeetings@langleycity.ca](mailto:CouncilMeetings@langleycity.ca)>

> Subject: Garden Wild paths

>

> CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

>

> I have been at this address since 1979. As much as I appreciate the proposal for the new path from 200th street to High Knoll Park, I am opposed to the path for many reasons. When we first moved here, there was an abundance of wild pheasants. As things developed on the Surrey side they have virtually disappeared over the years. Luckily we still have the deer and their fawns that exist on this side of the river. Among the many species of birds, eagles, herons that perch along the river, owls etc, there have also been a sighting of red tailed hawks.

> My concerns are the disruption of habitat along the river.

> There is already a path on the north bank of the river, easily accessed by this neighbourhood and nearby neighbourhoods. Why not tie into Surreys path further away from residential properties and eliminate another disruption to our habitat. This would eliminate the extremely high cost of maintenance. As you are aware the back field gets up to 3 1/2 FEET of water and it is tidal. A danger to young children. Also making the path seasonal.

> Due to the existing north bank path, we have had a couple of fires in the past that luckily did not come on this side of the river. The field is extremely dry all summer. Good and IMMEDIATE access would be imperative.

> On the north bank path, we hear a lot of noise late at night from teens partying, and homeless people putting up camp. They know that security would be very difficult and no available lighting to see them.

> Dirt bikes use the path even though it is not legal. These are very noisy. They know by the time security came they could be gone the other way.

> Proper lighting for any paths would encroach on the habitat hunting at night. Im afraid access to this side would increase break ins as it would be easy to flee into the field described above.

> I was very surprised we did not get a chance to vote on this. No signage was up indicating proposal ,nor any literature mailed out. Even on website now, no pictures of exactly where the path will run.

> I urge you to reconsider access that would not take away the safety and comfort of the residents that have made a home here and would like to stay.

> I am all for improvement and marketing Langley for future homebuyers, but please respect the existing ones.

> Thank you

> S.Rattray  
> 22 51 ave  
> Langley  
> V3A 7L4  
>  
>  
>  
>