



MINUTES OF A REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

Monday, November 1, 2021

3:00 p.m.

Remote Video / Teleconference

Present: Mayor van den Broek
Councillor Albrecht
Councillor James
Councillor Martin
Councillor Pachal
Councillor Storteboom
Councillor Wallace

Staff Present: F. Cheung, Chief Administrative Officer
R. Bomhof, Director of Engineering, Parks and Environment
K. Hilton, Director of Recreation, Culture and Community Services
D. Leite, Director of Corporate Services
C. Johannsen, Director of Development Services
R. Beddow, Deputy Director of Development Services
H. Gill, Manager of Engineering
B. Zeller, Manager of Human Resources
K. Kenney, Corporate Officer

Mayor van den Broek began by acknowledging that the land on which we gather is the traditional unceded territory of the Katzie, Kwantlen, Matsqui and Semiahmoo First Nations.

She further advised that, although there wouldn't be an in-person Remembrance Day ceremony this year, everyone is encouraged to take some time to remember the sacrifices that were made for the freedoms that we have today.

1. **ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

- a. Adoption of the November 1, 2021 Regular Agenda

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT the November 1, 2021 agenda be adopted as amended to include the following Items:

- Minimizing the use of synthetic turf surfaces in all in-progress and future developments under New Business;
- Metro Vancouver Update after Library Update.

CARRIED

2. ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES

- a. Regular Meeting Minutes from October 18, 2021

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT the minutes of the regular meeting held on October 18, 2021 be adopted as circulated.

CARRIED

- b. Special (Pre-Closed) Meeting Minutes from October 13 and October 18, 2021

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT the minutes of the special (pre-closed) meeting held on October 13 and October 18, 2021 be adopted as circulated.

CARRIED

- c. Public Hearing Minutes from October 18, 2021

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT the minutes of the public hearing held on October 18, 2021 be adopted as circulated.

CARRIED

3. DELEGATIONS

- a. Walter Stunder

Copper antiviral film

Mr. Stunder made a presentation to Council regarding the following:

- provided information on a copper antiviral coating created in Korea that is reputed to kill the COVID-19 virus and is currently being used in Korea to prevent the spread of the COVID-19 virus on high-touch surfaces in places such as schools and on public transit;
- described the antiviral qualities and uses of various product samples that were made with the copper antiviral coating which had been provided to Council;
- noted that TransLink is researching this type of product and has found that copper does kill 99 percent of the COVID-19 virus;

- advised that he had tested the effectiveness of the product by sticking small patches of the product to some of the City's street crossing buttons;
- suggested the City would find this product useful for high-touch surfaces such as rails, posts, and door handles, and offered to provide the product free of charge to the City to try out as one of his goals is to make Langley the "Most Germ-Free City in BC".

In response to questions from a Council member, Mr. Stunder advised that:

- in order to determine the efficacy of the product, there are some independent companies that will do the required testing for a fee;
- he will also be talking to independent schools and care homes about the product.

b. Michelle Sparrow - Fraser Valley Real Estate Board

Ms. Sparrow, Professional Standards Advisor, Fraser Valley Real Estate Board made a presentation to Council regarding the following:

- the Fraser Valley Real Estate Board represents approximately 4000 realtors across seven municipalities;
- the board just celebrated its 100th year anniversary;
- to celebrate this anniversary, the board would like to gift the seven municipalities with an illuminated bench that can be illuminated with different colours for various events;
- the bench would have a plaque describing the work that realtors do within the community and marking the 100th anniversary of the board;
- the board would cover the cost of the bench and the installation in each municipality;
- the bench would need to be located in an area that had access to a power source;
- to date, the Township of Langley and the Cities of Surrey and White Rock have agreed to having a bench placed in their communities;
- requesting Council's approval to have City staff work with the board to pick a suitable location in the city for the bench and then have a formal unveiling of the bench in the spring of 2022.

It was **MOVED** and **SECONDED**

THAT staff be directed to investigate and report back to Council on the feasibility of having the illuminated bench, offered by the Fraser Valley Real Estate Board to be gifted to the City, installed in a city location.

CARRIED

c. Harish Masand - Request changes to the secondary suite provisions in the Zoning Bylaw

Mr. Masand spoke to Council regarding the following:

- is the owner of the property at 19924 48th Ave. in Langley;
- he bought the property two years ago as a future home for his children; right now, he and his family are living in Surrey;
- the house was built in 1976 and has had at least three owners prior to him;
- it has a basement suite that was built more than 10 years ago as confirmed by City staff;
- when he received a notice from the City last month advising that the suite was illegal, he was very surprised because the previous owner from whom he purchased the property didn't disclose in the property disclosure statement that the basement suite had been built without City building permits;
- he was looking for a family to rent the entire house when he received the City's notice;
- as a result, his family has suffered financial losses as well as stress and anxiety due to not being able to rent the house and being held responsible for something he did not do;
- is requesting that the City help guide him to obtain the required permits to bring his property into compliance;
- he is in the process of having the suite's kitchen stove, fridge and cabinets removed;
- is also requesting Council review the provisions for secondary suites in the Zoning Bylaw.

In response to questions from Council members, Mr. Masand advised that:

- he is requesting that there be some exemption to the rule regarding secondary suites that the owner of the property must live in the home for people in his situation who were unaware when they purchased the property that the secondary suite was illegal and is financially impacted by being responsible for correcting the issue;
- He is requesting the City help him to expedite the process to bring his property into compliance with the City requirements;

Mr. Johannsen, Director of Development Services advised that he had spoken with his staff today about this issue and staff are going to be following up with Mr. Massand to help him bring his home up to code.

4. COMMUNITY SPOTLIGHTS

a. Metro 2050

Sean Galloway, Director of Regional Planning and Electoral Area Services, Metro Vancouver first respectfully acknowledged that Metro Vancouver residents, live, work, and learn on the shared territories of many indigenous peoples, including the ten local First Nations.

Mr. Galloway provided introductory comments as follows:

- advised that they have been providing this presentation to regional stakeholders over the past few months to provide information on Metro 2050 and the work that has been done to date on it;
- stressed the importance of the plan to everything that Metro Vancouver does; how it plans for potential land use and growth has massive impacts on communities:
 - building compact and complete communities means they can keep costs low and continue to protect the value of the region's industrial capacity, sensitive natural areas, and food producing lands, amongst other lands within the region;
 - improves resilience over the longer term;
- acknowledged the great work undertaken by all planning departments in the region in collaborating through this process.

James Stiver, Division Manager of Growth Management and Transportation provided a PowerPoint presentation on the Metro 2050 draft update to the Regional Growth Strategy and providing information on the following;

- purpose and history of Regional Growth Strategies which date back to 1960s;
- the Growth Strategy covers a 30-year planning horizon;
- Scope of the Update
 - Build on success
 - Align with Transport 2050
 - Apply what we've learned
 - Respond to critical challenges
 - Engagement Process
- Metro 2050 Draft:
 - Builds on Metro 2040 content
 - Over 2 years of engagement
 - Changes respond to municipal and other requests
 - Strong input from Intergovernmental Advisory Committee
 - Board distributed by letter in July
 - Actions for Metro Vancouver Member Jurisdiction and TransLink
 - Growth Projections – forecasting our region's population in 2050

- 5 goals:
 - Create a compact Urban Area
 - Support a Sustainable Economy
 - Protect the Environment and Respond to Climate Change and Natural Hazards
 - Provide Diverse and Affordable Housing Choices
 - Support Sustainable Transportation Choices
- What's proposed:
 - Clarity on Urban Centres and Frequent Transit Development Areas – new types
 - Stronger alignment of planned locations for growth with transit investment through new tool - 'Major Transit Growth Corridors'
 - Target that 15% of new & redeveloped housing in Urban Centres and Frequent Transit Development Areas will be affordable, rental housing
 - Policies to encourage transit-oriented affordable housing, increase stock and protect existing non-market rental units
 - Stronger protection for Industrial lands; flexibility for residential near rapid transit stations
 - Stronger climate action, including collective actions to meet greenhouse gas emission reduction targets and prepare for impacts
 - Target to protect 50% of land for nature and achieve 40% tree canopy cover within the Urban Containment Boundary
 - More explicit integration of equity outcomes
 - Emphasis on building relationships with First Nations, incorporating development and planning needs
 - Opportunity for Comment:
 - New opportunity in advance of bylaw process
 - Written comments by Council resolution by November 26, 2021
 - Online Feedback Form for residents, businesses, others
 - Public Webinar
 - Social Media Promotions
 - Intergovernmental Advisory Committee
- Next Steps:
 - Comment period (July - Nov)
 - Re-assess process (Dec)
 - First Bylaw Reading (Jan / Feb)
 - Public Hearing (Feb / March)
 - Signatory Acceptance (Apr - Jun)
 - Final Bylaw Reading (Jul)

In response to questions from Council members, Mr. Stiver advised that:

- for purposes of the Regional Growth Strategy the definition is defined as 120% of the regional median; however, there is a different approach that's being taken to the 15% affordable housing target because rental housing has a different definition of affordability and ownership housing; that definition hasn't been finalized yet;
- the definition of affordable housing hasn't been finalized yet, but for purposes of the growth strategy is being defined as "120% of the regional median; however, a different approach is being taken with respect to the 15% affordable housing target because rental housing has a different definition of affordability than ownership housing, and that definition hasn't yet been finalized but will be developed through conversations with municipal staff;
- additional industrial lands haven't been identified in the Metro 2050 Plan; that type of change is typically initiated by member municipalities and Metro Vancouver staff work with municipal staff to present such proposals to the Metro Vancouver Board.

In response to a question from a Council member, Mr. Johannsen, Director of Development Services advised that staff could bring the Metro 2050 document to Council in the near future to provide comments so that staff can forward the City's feedback on the plan to Metro Vancouver as soon as possible to meet Metro Vancouver's deadline for public comments.

In response to questions from Council members, Mr. Galloway advised that:

- the reason why the Metro 2050 Plan doesn't include residential uses within industrial zones even though there are several examples of these type of live/work developments in the City of Langley, is that those types of developments built back in the 70s wouldn't have been built to the current building code standards for separation between residential and industrial uses, and further, the strong demand for residential uses at the present time creates pressure to redesignate industrial lands for residential use so it becomes difficult to protect such industrial lands over time;
- with respect to the potential for combined land uses, Metro Vancouver is taking a first step in having mixed employment areas combined with residential uses because those uses are less heavy industrial oriented and they will be monitoring these areas to see what the impacts are of allowing residential above mixed employment uses near rapid transit stations in urban centres.
- the Metro 2050 Plan by no means takes away the autonomy of the City; however, the City's Official Community Plan needs to show

how its meeting the goals and strategies of the Regional Growth Strategy by way of the City's Regional Context Statement.

In response to questions from a Council member, Mr. Johannsen advised that:

- staff will ensure that the land use definitions in the new Zoning Bylaw align with what's in the Metro 2050 plan and confirmed that any existing industrial properties with residential on them will be considered legally non-conforming until such time as the property owner decides to redevelop;
- the City has well defined industrial areas with mixed employment; as such, as part of the new OCP, the City will be looking at the Langley Bypass east of 202 St. to determine if there are further opportunities for mixed employment there.

Mr. Beddow, Deputy Director of Development Services further clarified that currently there were three properties in the city with live/work developments on them, each one with site-specific zoning to allow that use, so provided the owners' development plans fit within the existing zoning on that property there wouldn't be a non-conforming situation created. That would only occur if a rezoning was required, in which case the zoning would have to be consistent with the current OCP which links to the Regional Growth Strategy through the City's Regional Context Statement.

5. **MAYOR'S REPORT**

a. Upcoming Meetings

Regular Council Meeting – November 22, 2021 - 3pm, virtual
Regular Council Meeting – December 6, 2021 - 3pm, virtual

b. Library Happenings - Councillor Martin

Councillor Martin, Chair of the Fraser Valley Regional Library Board provided an update on City of Langley Library activities as follows:

- The Write On: Favourite Author contest – November 1 to 30
- FVRL podcast, ReadRadio
- November's Staff curated booklist
- Captain America Trivia Event
- The Art of Journaling – November 17 via Zoom
- The Salmon Rainforest – November 17 via Zoom
- Cookbook Club – November 18 via Zoom
- Sphero SPRK+ Challenge: Chariot Building – November 24 via Zoom
- On October 18th Fraser Valley Regional Library had its one millionth e-book circulation; this is the first year this has occurred and equates to an e-book being checked out every 25 seconds;

- Busiest days for e-book check-outs have been statutory holidays and on Sundays when the library is closed;

c. Metro Vancouver Update – Councillor Martin

Councillor Martin provided an update on the last Metro Vancouver Board meeting, advising that:

- the Board accepted the City's Regional Context Statement;
- the Board approved its budget which provides funding necessary to build, maintain, and upgrade critical infrastructure within the region;
- the operating budget is \$1.17 billion and the capital budget is 1.38 billion for 2022
- in 2022 residents will contribute an annual average of \$595 per household for all regional services which represents a \$21 increase over prior years but \$17.00 less than what was projected as the increase is 3.5% compared to 6.4% which was previously anticipated.

In response to a question from a Council member, Councillor Martin advised that the Metro Vancouver Board meeting lasted 7 ½ hours because one of the items on the agenda – Surrey development along 196 and 16th Ave., was discussed for 5 ½ hours.

d. Engineering Update

Rick Bomhof, Director of Engineering, Parks and Environment provided an update on engineering activities for November as follows:

- 200 St. Sewer Upgrade – Nicomekl Bridge to 49 Ave.;
- 200 St. Sanitary Sewer Upgrade;
- 200 St. Sanitary Sewer Upgrade Pipe-Bursting;
- 204 St. Overpass Signs Replaced;
- 208 St. Project -Bike Lanes
 - Trail Improvements and Tree Planting;
 - Widening for MUP and Trail Connection;
 - Bridge Work;
 - Culvert Extension;
- Glover Road Project
 - City crews completing utility connections;
 - Prepping protected bike lanes.

In response to questions from Council members, Mr. Bomhof advised that:

- the 208 St. project is expected to be completed this year but is weather dependent, the latest date of completion would be next spring;
- the amount of fill shown in some of the pictures of the culvert extension is only there for construction purposes to widen the culvert by 2 or 3m on either side.

In response to a question from a Council member, Mr. Francis Cheung, Chief Administrative Officer that:

- the City does still have a bylaw that requires recyclers to register, with the RCMP, receipt of any metal items that may be the City's; staff will follow up with the RCMP to ensure this program is still in effect.

6. **BYLAWS**

- a. Bylaw 3184 - Zoning Amendment (RZ 06-21) and Development Permit No. 08-21

Third reading of a bylaw to rezone the properties located at 19959-19985 56 Avenue, 5643 and 5647 200 Street from RS1 Single Family Residential to I1 Light Industrial to accommodate a 2-building, 5,561 m2 industrial-office development

It was **MOVED** and **SECONDED**

THAT the bylaw cited as the "Zoning Bylaw 1996, No. 2100 Amendment No. 180, 2021, No. 3184" be read a third time.

BEFORE THE QUESTION WAS CALLED in response to a question from a Council member, Mr. Hirod Gill, Manager of Engineering advised that, as they are still at the early stages of design for this project, analysis of lighting required for the lane has not yet been undertaken.

THE QUESTION WAS CALLED and the motion was

CARRIED

- b. Bylaw 3185 - Official Community Plan Bylaw Amendment No. 18 (OCP 05-21)

Third reading of a bylaw to amend City of Langley Official Community Plan Bylaw in order to incorporate provisions for higher-density Low-Rise Residential uses at the properties addressed 5370 and 5380 200 Street, 5371 and 5381 200A Street, 20010 & 20020 Michaud Crescent, and 20031 53B Avenue

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT the bylaw cited as the “City of Langley Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2005, No. 2600 Amendment No. 18, 2021, No. 3185” be read a third time.

BEFORE THE QUESTION WAS CALLED in response to a question from a Council member, Mr. Johannsen advised that the issue of use of synthetic turf in this development was raised during the public hearing and it will likely be discussed further in regard to the next item on the agenda.

THE QUESTION WAS CALLED and the motion was

CARRIED

- c. Bylaw 3186 - Zoning Amendment No. 181 (RZ07-21) and Development Permit No. DP 09-21

Third reading of a bylaw to rezone the properties located at 5370 & 5380 200 Street, 5371 & 5381 200A Street, 20010 & 20020 Michaud Crescent, & 20031 53B Avenue from RS1 Single Family Residential to CD78 Comprehensive Development to accommodate a 6-storey, 113-unit apartment development

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT the bylaw cited as the “Zoning Bylaw 1996, No. 2100 Amendment No. 181, 2021, No. 3186” be read a third time.

BEFORE THE QUESTION WAS CALLED Council discussion ensued regarding Council’s concerns with use of synthetic turf in this development.

THE QUESTION WAS CALLED and the motion was

CARRIED

Mr. Johannsen advised that he would be advising the applicant that Council doesn’t desire artificial turf as part of the development permit and that staff would work with the applicant in this regard.

7. **ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS**

a. Extension of Term – Task Groups

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT City Council extend the term of the following task groups to December 31, 2022:

- Arts and Culture Task Group
- Crime Prevention Task Group
- Economic Development Task Group
- Environmental Task Group
- Performing Arts and Culture Centre Task Group

BEFORE THE QUESTION WAS CALLED in response to a question from a Council member, Francis Cheung, Chief Administrative Officer advised that if other task group chairs wish to review their task group's mandate, the staff liaison for the group could work with the chair and co-chair to review the current Terms of Reference and amend it as necessary to align with the City's current Strategic Plan.

Council discussion ensued regarding potential review of the current task group structure, representation and membership on the task groups, task group mandates and how they align with Council's Strategic Plan, roles and expectations of chairs and co-chairs, and timing for potential review of the task groups' mandates.

In response to questions from Council members, Kelly Kenney, Corporate Officer advised that it was Council's prerogative to require the mandates of each Task Group be reviewed to ensure they were still effective in helping to achieve goals identified in Council's current Strategic Plan.

In response to a question from a Council member, Francis Cheung, Chief Administrative Officer advised that, should Council pass the motion under consideration to extend the terms of the Task Groups, the mandate and membership would stay the same; however, Council could then direct that each Task Group Chair work with the staff liaison to review the Terms of Reference, including the mandate and membership, and if there were amendments, they would be brought forward for Council's consideration at a future meeting.

THE QUESTION WAS CALLED and the motion was

CARRIED

b. Terms of Reference Amendment – Economic Development Task Group

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT City Council approve the amended Terms of Reference for the Economic Development Task Group.

BEFORE THE QUESTION WAS CALLED Councillor James, Chair of the Economic Development Task Group spoke to the report dated October 21, 2021 regarding the rationale for the proposed changes to the Task Group's Terms of Reference.

Council discussion ensued regarding potential for the Economic Development Task Group to include environmental considerations as part of its mandate, the recommended process to have each task group review its Terms of Reference, the proposed role of the Economic Development Task Group as compared to that of the Performing Arts & Cultural Centre Task Group in working towards making the performing arts and cultural centre a reality.

In response to questions from Council members, Mr. Cheung advised that:

- the City is working on a sustainability framework which includes economic, environmental, and financial considerations for all City activities; therefore, the Economic Development Task Group will be reviewing those sustainability goals when they come forward;
- the reason that the new Terms of Reference for the Economic Development Task Group includes working to secure capital funding for an arts and cultural facility as part of its mandate when the City has an existing Performing Arts & Cultural Centre Task Group, is that the City, on its own, doesn't have the funds necessary to construct the Performing Arts & Cultural Centre and the goal of the Performing Arts & Cultural Centre Task Group was to ask the arts community to organize themselves, create a society and develop a fundraising campaign to help the City raise the necessary funds; the Economic Development Task Group is looking at different funding models by engaging with the development community in order to secure the necessary funds. In addition, the City has been lobbying the provincial and federal governments for funding to construct the Performing Arts & Cultural Centre.

THE QUESTION WAS CALLED and the motion was

CARRIED

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT Council direct staff liaisons, Chairs, Vice Chairs and Co-Chairs of the City's task groups to review their respective task group's Terms of Reference and membership composition to ensure more inclusive membership and alignment with the City's current Strategic Plan.

CARRIED

8. NEW AND UNFINISHED BUSINESS

a. Motions/Notices of Motion

1. RCMP Contract Policing Municipal Cost Increases

Mayor van den Broek

It was MOVED and SECONDED

WHEREAS the recent collective agreement between the RCMP and National Police Federation includes significant retroactive pay going back to 2017 as well as pay increases going forward; and

WHEREAS our municipality and others that depend on RCMP contract policing are facing significant, unbudgeted costs that may force us to make very difficult choices at a time when our primary focus needs to be on economic recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS municipalities were not consulted at any stage of the negotiations process, despite the outcome of these negotiations having a direct and significant impact on municipal operations; and

WHEREAS RCMP cost increases will disproportionately impact rural communities; and

WHEREAS municipalities are eager to work more closely with other orders of government and the RCMP on contract policing issues to ensure the financial sustainability of contract policing and to maintain the service levels necessary for community safety;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Langley City joins the Federation of Canadian Municipalities in calling on the federal government to absorb all retroactive costs associated with the implementation of the new RCMP labour relations regime; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the federal government should commit to ensuring municipalities are properly consulted prior to implementation of measures that impact local fiscal sustainability

and ability to maintain effective levels of police services in communities; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT this resolution be forwarded to the Minister of Public Safety and the Federation of Canadian Municipalities.

BEFORE THE QUESTION WAS CALLED in response to questions from a member of Council, Mayor van den Broek confirmed that the proposed resolution originated from the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) newsletter and that it would be up to each municipality to determine if it wished to pass a similar resolution.

In response to a question from a Council member, Kelly Kenney, Corporate Officer advised of the difference between a motion and a Notice of Motion.

THE QUESTION WAS CALLED and the motion was

CARRIED

2. Year of the Garden Motion for 2022

Mayor van den Broek

It was MOVED and SECONDED

WHEREAS the Year of the Garden 2022 celebrates the Centennial of Canada's horticulture sector;

WHEREAS gardens and gardening contribute to the quality of life of our municipality and create safe and healthy places where people can come together;

WHEREAS gardens and gardening have helped us face the challenges of the COVID pandemic;

WHEREAS the Year of the Garden will highlight and celebrate the important contributions of our local gardening organizations and horticulture professionals and businesses;

WHEREAS Communities in Bloom in collaboration with the Canadian Garden Council, invites all municipalities to celebrate the Year of the Garden;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT The City of Langley consider promoting 2022 as the Year of the Garden in celebration of the contribution of gardens and gardening to the development of our country, our municipality, and the lives of our citizens in terms

of health, quality of life and environmental challenges; and

THAT this resolution be referred to the Environmental Task Group to consider developing educational flyers, promoting the environmental benefits that gardens provide to our quality of life in the city, similar to educational flyers created by the Crime Prevention Task Group, for distribution in 2022.

BEFORE THE QUESTION WAS CALLED in response to questions from Council members, Francis Cheung, Chief Administrative Officer advised that, should the resolution be passed by Council, the Task Group would investigate and report back to Council on the costs to produce the flyers and whether the funds would come out of the 2021 or 2022 budget.

In response to a question from a Council member, Mayor van den Broek advised that the proposed resolution was submitted to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) by Communities in Bloom in collaboration with the Canadian Garden Council and was published in the FCM's newsletter.

THE QUESTION WAS CALLED and the motion was

CARRIED

3. CanWill Federation of Canadian Municipalities Grant

Mayor van den Broek

It was MOVED and SECONDED

WHEREAS Canadian Women in Local Leadership (CanWILL) has developed a three-year (2021-2024) project implemented by FCM in collaboration with key national partners and funded by the federal government through Women and Gender Equality Canada (WAGE);

WHEREAS women face systemic barriers when running for municipal office and once elected, this grant application will provide tools for women wanting to participate in government and offer support once elected. This includes addressing gender-based violence (GBV) and harassment, systemic bias and racism, all of which have been identified as significant obstacles to women's participation;

WHEREAS funds from the department of Women and Gender Equality (WAGE), through the Canadian Women in Local Leadership (CanWILL) project, would be aimed at strengthening the capacity of communities to support women, particularly those

who are underrepresented (Indigenous, Black, racialized, LGBTQ2S+, youth, other), who run for, assume, and/or remain in leadership positions in local government;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Langley support the application of a grant to FCM.

BEFORE THE QUESTION WAS CALLED Council discussion ensued with respect to how the grant program worked, those who were eligible to receive the support, what types of projects/support could be provided, and the overall purpose of the grant program.

THE QUESTION WAS CALLED and the motion was

CARRIED

Opposed: Councillor Storteboom

b. Correspondence

1. Paid Sick Leave For Workers - City of Victoria

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT the correspondence from the City of Victoria regarding Paid Sick Leave for Workers be received for information.

CARRIED

c. New Business

Minimizing the Use of Synthetic Turf Surfaces in All In-progress and Future Developments – Councillor Pachal

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT staff work to eliminate or significantly minimize the use of synthetic turf surfaces in all in-progress and future development projects.

BEFORE THE QUESTION WAS CALLED Councillor Pachal advised that the purpose of this resolution was to reflect Council's discussion about looking for natural services, reducing the heat island effect and using landscaping materials that are consistent with the new OCP, which places a strong emphasis on climate change mitigation.

In response to a question from a Council member, Rick Bomhof, Director of Engineering, Parks and Environment advised that staff would need to look into whether there was a more environmentally friendly turf grass product that would provide the required drainage and odour control for the proposed dog run area as they were not fully familiar with these products.

THE QUESTION WAS CALLED and the motion was
CARRIED

1. Motion to Hold Closed Meeting

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT the Council Meeting immediately following this meeting be closed to the public as the subject matter being considered relates to items which comply with the following closed meeting criteria specified in Section 90 of the Community Charter:

(1) A part of a council meeting may be closed to the public if the subject matter being considered relates to or is one or more of the following:

(a) personal information about an identifiable individual who holds or is being considered for a position as an officer, employee or agent of the municipality or another position appointed by the municipality;

(c) labour relations or other employee relations;

(g) litigation or potential litigation affecting the municipality;

(k) negotiations and related discussions respecting the proposed provision of a municipal service that are at their preliminary stages and that, in the view of the council, could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of the municipality if they were held in public.

CARRIED

9. ADJOURNMENT

It was MOVED and SECONDED

THAT the meeting adjourn at 6:08 pm.

CARRIED

Signed:

MAYOR

Certified Correct:

CORPORATE OFFICER